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Artificial Intelligence

- Half century of research and goal seems still so far
- Why?
- Too much in a hurry for results rather than understanding?
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Knowledge: from Where?

- AI needs much knowledge about our world
- Explicit-symbolic approach: $\textbf{Cyc} =$ hand-crafted collection of rules and facts

Gigantic  Incoherent/Incomplete  Not robust not uncertainty-friendly
Learning the Knowledge?

- Animals and humans: innate + learned
- Can learn many tasks that were not evolution-tuned!
- Bet on existence of some generic strategies/principles
- More exciting / greater payoff / worth exploring this path
Compact Representation ⇒ Generalization

Extract the essence from the data ⇒ generalization

- Occam’s Razor
- Kolmogorov/Solomonoff 1964
- Vapnik 1972

\[
\min_f \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} error(x_i, f(x_i)) \neq \min_f \mathbb{E}[error(x_i, f(x_i))]
\]
Easy if the target function is smooth (few variations).
Generalizing Locally

Works well with a good representation: where notion of neighborhood is meaningful.

* = training example

prediction(x) = learnt = interpolated

true = unknown

test point x
Where Local Generalization Fails

Training set: Klingon characters

Test set:

- Pixel-to-pixel Euclidean distance only works very locally
- Klingons work in a more abstract representation space
We and others have shown negative results illustrating limitations of local generalization:

- (classical non-parametric)
- Local kernel methods:
  - SVMs
  - Gaussian Process
  - Graph-based semi- and un-supervised learning alg. (LLE, Isomap, etc.)
- Decision trees

All break data space in regions s.t. 
# degrees freedom $\propto$ # regions
Curse of Dimensionality

Basic result:

**Theorem Sketch**
Local learning algorithms cannot generalize to variations not covered by the training set.

**Informal Corollary**
Local learning algorithms can require a number of training examples exponential in the input dimension to obtain a given generalization error.
Actual theoretical results (NIPS’2004, NIPS’2005, *Semi-Supervised Learning* book) are specialized:

- Gaussian kernel machines
  - Functions varying a lot along a straight line
  - Parity function
- Semi-supervised learning from neighborhood-graph
- Decision trees on highly varying functions
- Local kernel manifold learning from neighborhood-graph (such as kPCA, LLE, Isomap, ...)
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Primates Visual System

Visual System: sequence of transformations / abstraction levels
**Strategy: Distributed Representations**

- **Distributed representation**: each percept represented by the combination of many features
- Multiple levels of representation (like in the brain)
- **Exponential advantage**
- Missing in many current learning algorithms (most clustering, non-parametric, semi-supervised, kernels, mixture models, etc.)
Exploiting Multiple Levels of Representation

- **V4**: Higher-level abstractions
- **V2**: Primitive pattern detectors
- **V1**: Oriented edge detectors
- **Retina**: Pixels

By Yoshua Bengio
Computation Graph and Depth

Each node = computation element (from a set)

compute \( x \times \sin (a \times x + b) \),
depth = 4.

\[ f(x) = \tanh (b + w'x) \]

Multi-layer neural net
depth = 3.
When a function can be compactly represented by a deep architecture, representing it with a shallow architecture can require a number of elements exponential in the input dimension.
2-level logic circuits on $\{0, 1\}^n$

- can represent any discrete function
- most functions require $O(2^n)$ logic gates
- $\exists$ functions computable efficiently with depth $k$, requiring $O(2^n)$ gates if depth $\leq k - 1$. 
- Similar results for circuits of formal neurons
- ∃ “simple” functions requiring exponential size architectures with Gaussian kernel machines
- Most current/popular learning algorithms: **depth = 1 ou 2**, sometimes 3 (e.g. boosted trees, forests, 2-hidden layer MLPs)
Theoretical results (proved for some element sets)
  insufficient depth $\Rightarrow$
  very fat architecture
  $\Rightarrow$ very large number of parameters required
  $\Rightarrow$ very large number of examples required
Training Deep Architectures: the Challenge

- Two levels suffice to represent any function
- Up to 2006, failure of attempts to train deep architectures
  
  Why? **Non-convex optimisation** and **stochastic**!

  - Focus NIPS 1995-2005: convex learning algorithms

⇒ Let us face the challenge!
Strategy: multi-task semi-supervised learning

- Humans: most examples not semantically labeled
- Each example informs many tasks
- Representations shared among tasks
- Capture common factors of variations to generalize easily to new tasks (even with 0 examples!)
- \( \lim_{\#\ tasks \rightarrow \infty} = (\text{un} + \text{semi})\text{-supervised} \)
Strategy: one level of abstraction at a time

- Humans learn simple things first: first levels of visual system converge in critical periods
- Percept representation = abstraction of the percept
- Learn a first level of representation, then a second built on the first, etc.

Deep Belief Network (DBN)
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FIRST: successful training of deep architectures!
Hinton et al Neural Comp. 2006, followed by Bengio et al, and Ranzato et al at NIPS’2006

One trains one layer after the other of an MLP
Unsupervised learning in each layer of initial representation
Continue training an ordinary but deep MLP near a better minimum
Individual Layer: RBMs and auto-encoders

**Restricted Boltzmann Machine:**

\[ P(x) = \sum_{h} P(x, h) \propto \sum_{h} e^{h'Wx} \]

Allows efficient inference of causes \( h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n) \)

**Auto-encoder:** Looks for a compact representation \( h(x) \) of \( x \)

\[ x \Rightarrow h(x) \Rightarrow \hat{x}(h(x)) \Rightarrow \text{reconstruction error}(x, \hat{x}) \]

Learn functions \( h(\cdot) \) and \( \hat{x}(\cdot) \).
Initial deep hierarchical mapping is learnt in an **unsupervised** way.

\[ f_{\theta}^{(3)} \]
\[ f_{\theta}^{(2)} \]
\[ f_{\theta} \]
\[ x \]

→ **Initialization** for a supervised task.

Output layer gets added.

Global fine tuning by gradient descent on **supervised criterion**.
Learning deep networks
Supervised fine-tuning

- Initial deep hierarchical mapping is learnt in an **unsupervised** way.
- $\rightarrow$ **initialization** for a **supervised** task.
- Output layer gets added.
- Global fine tuning by gradient descent on **supervised** criterion.
Initial deep hierarchical mapping is learnt in an **unsupervised** way.

→ **Initialization** for a **supervised task**.

**Output layer** gets added.

**Global fine tuning** by gradient descent on **supervised criterion**.
Hinton et al. (2006) introduced the Deep Belief Network (DBN), a deep probabilistic/generative neural network.

The training procedure is first **layer-wise greedy** and **unsupervised** (initialization).

Then the model is converted into a conditional predictor and **fine-tuned**

\[
\min_{\theta} -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=t}^{n} \log \hat{p}(y_t|x_t, \theta)
\]
Denoising Auto-Encoders

- Clean input $x \in [0, 1]^d$ is partially destroyed, yielding corrupted input: $\tilde{x} \sim q_D(\tilde{x}|x)$.
- $\tilde{x}$ is mapped to hidden representation $y = f_\theta(\tilde{x})$.
- From $y$ we reconstruct $z = g_{\theta'}(y)$.
- Train parameters to minimize the cross-entropy “reconstruction error”
- Corresponds to maximizing variational bound on likelihood of a generative model
- Naturally handles missing values / occlusion / multi-modality
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Denoising autoencoder can be seen as a way to learn a manifold:

- Suppose training data (×) concentrate near a low-dimensional manifold.
- Corrupted examples (●) are obtained by applying corruption process $q_D(\tilde{X}|X)$ and will lie farther from the manifold.
- The model learns with $p(X|\tilde{X})$ to “project them back” onto the manifold.
- Intermediate representation $Y$ can be interpreted as a coordinate system for points on the manifold.
Benchmark problems
Variations on MNIST digit classification

**basic**: subset of original MNIST digits: 10,000 training samples, 2,000 validation samples, 50,000 test samples.

(a) **rot**: applied random rotation (angle between 0 and $2\pi$ radians)

(b) **bg-rand**: background made of random pixels (value in $0\ldots255$)

(c) **bg-img**: background is random patch from one of 20 images

(d) **rot-bg-img**: combination of rotation and background image
Benchmark problems
Shape discrimination

- **rect**: discriminate between tall and wide rectangles on black background.

- **rect-img**: borderless rectangle filled with random image patch. Background is a different image patch.

- **convex**: discriminate between convex and non-convex shapes.
## Performance comparison

### Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>SVM(_{rbf})</th>
<th>DBN-3</th>
<th>SAA-3</th>
<th>SdA-3 ((\nu))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>basic</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.15</td>
<td>3.11 ± 0.15</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.16</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.14 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rot</td>
<td>11.11 ± 0.28</td>
<td>10.30 ± 0.27</td>
<td>10.30 ± 0.27</td>
<td>10.29 ± 0.27 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bg-rand</td>
<td>14.58 ± 0.31</td>
<td>6.73 ± 0.22</td>
<td>11.28 ± 0.28</td>
<td>10.38 ± 0.27 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bg-img</td>
<td>22.61 ± 0.37</td>
<td>16.31 ± 0.32</td>
<td>23.00 ± 0.37</td>
<td>16.68 ± 0.33 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rot-bg-img</td>
<td>55.18 ± 0.44</td>
<td>47.39 ± 0.44</td>
<td>51.93 ± 0.44</td>
<td>44.49 ± 0.44 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rect</td>
<td>2.15 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.14</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.12 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rect-img</td>
<td>24.04 ± 0.37</td>
<td>22.50 ± 0.37</td>
<td>24.05 ± 0.37</td>
<td>21.59 ± 0.36 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>convex</td>
<td>19.13 ± 0.34</td>
<td>18.63 ± 0.34</td>
<td>18.41 ± 0.34</td>
<td>19.06 ± 0.34 (10%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some Results 2006-2008

- Deep architectures superior on MNIST (NIPS’2006)
- Greater advantage on more complex tasks (ICML’2007)
- RBMs slightly better than ordinary auto-encoders
- Denoising auto-encoders ≥ RBMs, and more flexible
- Applications in NLP, vision, MOCAP, collaborative filtering
- Optimization sometimes still deficient, challenges ahead
Continuation methods

- target cost fn
- slightly smoothed
- heavily smoothed

track minima

final solution

easy to find initial solution
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Several Strategies are Continuations

- Older: stochastic gradient from small parameters
- Breakthrough: greedy layer-wise construction
- New: gradually bring in more difficult examples
Curriculum Strategy

Start with simpler, easier examples, and gradually introduce more of the more complicated ones as the learner is ready to learn them.

Design the sequence of tasks / datasets to guide learning/optimization.
Strategy: Society = Parallel Optimisation

- Each human = potential solution
- Better solutions spread through language
- Similar to genetic evolution: parallel search + recombination
- R. Dawkins’ Memes
- Simulations support this hypothesis
- AI: take advantage of human culture
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Combine many strategies, to obtain a baby AI that masters the semantics of a simple visual + linguistic universe.

Subject | Question | Answer
--- | --- | ---
Color | There is a small triangle. What color is it? | Green
Shape | What is the shape of the green object? | Triangle
Location | Is the blue square at the top or at the bottom? | At the top
Size | There is a triangle on the right. Is it rather small or big? | Small
Size (relative) | Is the square smaller or bigger than the triangle? | Bigger
Conclusions and work in progress

- AI ⇒ learning ⇒ generalize
  ⇒ generalize non-locally ⇒ learn distributed representations
  ⇒ deep architectures ⇒ optimisation challenge

- **Breakthrough in 2006**

- Biological inspiration: humans’ strategies to optimize learning of world model
  - multi-task, unsupervised, semi-supervised
  - multiple levels of distributed representation
  - learn lower levels first
  - *curriculum / education*
  - collective parallel search

- **Ongoing work:** denoising auto-encoders, work as well or better than DBNs
- Collobert & Weston: learning representations by layer-wise manifold learning

- *Patience: see the long term*...