

In Optimization Anima Anandkumar

Bren Professor at Caltech **Director of ML Research at NVIDIA** 

# Some Success Stories in Bridging Theory and Practice

## SIGNSGD: COMPRESSED OPTIMIZATION FOR NON-CONVEX PROBLEMS

JEREMY BERNSTEIN, JIAWEI ZHAO, KAMYAR AZZIZADENESHELI, YU-XIANG WANG, ANIMA ANANDKUMAR





### DISTRIBUTED TRAINING INVOLVES COMPUTATION & COMMUNICATION

### GPU 1

#### With 1/2 data

### Parameter server



With 1/2 data

### **DISTRIBUTED TRAINING INVOLVES COMPUTATION & COMMUNICATION**

#### **Compress?**

### GPU 1

#### With 1/2 data

### Parameter server

#### **Compress?**

### GPU 2

#### With 1/2 data

**Compress?** 





DISTRIBUTED TRAINING BY MAJORITY VOTE sign(g) Parameter sign(g) server sign(g)

## sign [sum(sign(g))]

Parameter server

### VARIANTS OF SGD DISTORT THE GRADIENT IN DIFFERENT WAYS



Signum

 $g_k$ 

Sig

Adam

 $g_k$ 



$$gn \left(g_{k} + \beta g_{k-1} + \beta^{2} g_{k-2} + \ldots\right)$$

$$gn \left(g_{k} + \beta g_{k-1} + \beta^{2} g_{k-2} + \ldots\right)$$

$$gn \left(g_{k} + \beta g_{k-1} + \beta^{2} g_{k-2} + \ldots\right)$$







## **SINGLE WORKER RESULTS**

#### Assumptions

- > Objective function lower bound  $f_*$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Coordinate-wise variance bound  $\overrightarrow{\sigma}$
- Coordinate-wise gradient Lipschitz



## LARGE-BATCH ANALYSIS

#### Define

- Number of iterations K
- Number of backpropagations N

$$\int_{0}^{1} \|g_{k}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left[ 2\|\vec{L}\|_{\infty}(f_{0} - f_{*}) + \|\vec{\sigma}\|_{2}^{2} \right]$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{d} \|\vec{g}_{k}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left[ \sqrt{d} \sqrt{\|\vec{L}\|_{\infty}} \left( f_{0} - f_{*} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \right]$$



## VECTOR DENSITY & ITS RELEVANCE IN DEEP LEARNING

#### A sparse vector

#### A dense vector

### Natural measure of density

$$\phi(\vec{v}) = \frac{\|\vec{v}\|_1^2}{d\|\vec{v}\|_2^2} = 1 \approx 0$$

#### Fully dense vector.....a sign vector

for fully dense v for fully sparse v

.....a sign vector

 $\int_{0.0}^{1.0} \phi(g)$ 





## **DISTRIBUTED SIGNSGD: MAJORITY VOTE THEORY**

### If gradients are unimodal and symmetric...



...majority vote with M workers converges at r

Same variance reduction as SGD



\_\_\_\_

#### ... reasonable by central limit theorem...

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{M} \\ \mathsf{rate:} \qquad & \mathbb{E} \left[ \min_{0 \le k \le K-1} \|g_k\|_1 \right]^2 \\ \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left[ \sqrt{\|\vec{L}\|_1} \left( f_0 - f_* + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{M}} \right] \end{aligned}$$





## MINI-BATCH ANALYSIS

#### Under symmetric noise assumption:

rate,  $\eta$ , and mini-batch size, n, as

 $\eta = \Lambda$ 

where N = K is the total number of stochastic gradient calls up to step K.

**Theorem 1** (Non-convex convergence rate of small-batch SIGNSGD). Run the following algorithm for K iterations under Assumptions 1 to 4:  $x_{k+1} = x_k - \eta \operatorname{sign}(\tilde{g}_k)$ . Set the learning

$$\sqrt{\frac{f_0 - f_*}{\|\vec{L}\|_1 K}}, \qquad n = 1.$$

Let  $H_k$  be the set of gradient components at step k with large signal-to-noise ratio  $S_i := \frac{|g_{k,i}|}{\sigma_i}$ , *i.e.*  $H_k := \left\{ i \left| S_i > \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \right\} \right\}$ . We refer to  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$  as the 'critical SNR'. Then we have

$$g_{k,i}| + \sum_{i \notin H_k} \frac{g_{k,i}^2}{\sigma_i} \le 3\sqrt{\frac{\|\vec{L}\|_1 (f_0 - f_*)}{N}}.$$

1.0 Lraining loss 0.0 0.4 0.2



## CIFAR-10 SNR





## SIGNSGD PROVIDES "FREE LUNCH"



### Throughput gain with only tiny accuracy loss

### P3.2x machines on AWS, Resnet50 on imagenet

## SIGNSGD: TIME PER EPOCH



compression on workers
 gather on server
 majority vote on server
 broadcast to workers
 decompression on workers





## SIGNSGD ACROSS DOMAINS AND ARCHITECTURES



![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Huge throughput gain!

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

## BYZANTINE FAULT TO LERANCE

#### Under symmetric noise assumption:

**Theorem 2** (Non-convex convergence rate of majority vote with adversarial workers). *Run* algorithm 1 for K iterations under Assumptions 1 to 4. Switch off momentum and weight decay ( $\beta = \lambda = 0$ ). Set the learning rate,  $\eta$ , and mini-batch size, n, for each worker as

 $\eta =$ 

$$\left[\frac{1}{K}\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \mathbb{E} \|g_k\|_1\right]^2 \le \frac{4}{\sqrt{N}} \left[\frac{1}{1-2\alpha} \frac{\|\vec{\sigma}\|_1}{\sqrt{M}} + \sqrt{\|L\|_1(f_0 - f^*)}\right]^2$$

Assume that a fraction  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  of the M workers behave adversarially by sending to the server the negation of their sign gradient estimate. Then majority vote converges at rate:

where  $N = K^2$  is the total number of stochastic gradient calls per worker up to step K.

## SIGNSGD IS ALSO BYZANTINE FAULT TOLERANT

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Proportion of adversaries:

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

## 0% 14% 29% 43%

## TAKE-AWAYS FOR SIGN-SGD

#### 

#### • Faster than SGD in theory and in practice.

- Convergence even under biased gradients and noise.
- For distributed training, similar variance reduction as SGD.
- In practice, similar accuracy but with far less communication.

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

## LEARNING FROM NOISY SINGLY-LABELED DATA ASHISH KHETAN, ZACHARY C. LIPTON, ANIMA ANANDKUMAR

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **CROWDSOURCING: AGGREGATION OF CROWD ANNOTATIONS**

### Majority rule

- Simple and common.
- Wasteful: ignores annotator quality of different workers.

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **CROWDSOURCING: AGGREGATION OF CROWD ANNOTATIONS**

## Majority rule

- Simple and common.
- Wasteful: ignores annotator quality of different workers.

### Annotator-quality models

- Can improve accuracy.
- Hard: needs to be estimated without ground-truth.

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_9.jpeg)

## PROPOSED CROWDSOURCING ALGORITHM

Noisy crowdsourced annotations is x x x x x x

Posterior of ground-truth labels given annotator quality model

Training with weighted loss. Use posterior as weights

Use trained model to infer ground-truth labels

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

### MLE : update Annotator quality using inferred labels from model

0.7

0.3

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_10.jpeg)

### LABELING ONCE IS OPTIMAL: THEORY

Theorem: per sample.

#### Assumptions:

- Simplified case: All workers have same quality.
- Prob. of being correct > 83%

### Under fixed budget, generalization error minimized with single annotation

Best predictor is accurate enough (under no label noise).

### LABELING ONCE IS OPTIMAL: PRACTICE

### MS-COCO dataset. Fixed budget: 35k annotations

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

No. of workers

score

Simulated workers and fixed budget

## Imagenet dataset.

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

## TENSOR METHODS

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **TENSORS FOR MULTI-DIMENSIONAL DATA AND HIGHER ORDER MOMENTS**

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Images: 3 dimensions

Pairwise correlations

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Videos: 4 dimensions

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

Triplet correlations

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

## UNSUPERVISED LEARNING OF TOPIC MODELS THROUGH TENSOR METHODS

SECTIONS

COLLEGE FOOTBALL

#### At Florida State, Football Clouds Justice

Now, an examination by The New York Times of police and court records, TMZ, the gossip website, also requested the police report and later asked along with interviews with crime witnesses, has found that, far from an the school's deputy police chief, Jim L. Russell, if the campus police had aberration, the treatment of the Winston complaint was in keeping with the interviewed Mr. Winston about the rape report. Mr. Russell responded by way the police on numerous occasions have soft-pedaled allegations of saying his officers were not investigating the case, omitting any reference to wrongdoing by Seminoles football players. From criminal mischief and motor- the city police, even though the campus police knew of their involvement. "Thank you for contacting me regarding this rumor - I am glad I can dispel vehicle theft to domestic violence, arrests have been avoided, investigations have stalled and players have escaped serious consequences. that one!" Mr. Russell told TMZ in an email. The university said Mr. Russell was unaware of any other police investigation at the time of the inquiry. Soon In a community whose self-image and economic well-being are so tightly after, the Tallahassee police belatedly sent their files to the news media and to bound to the fortunes of the nation's top-ranked college football team, law the prosecutor, William N. Meggs. By then critical evidence had been lost and enforcement officers are finely attuned to a suspect's football connections. Mr. Meggs, who criticized the police's handling of the case, declined to Those ties are cited repeatedly in police reports examined by The Times.

Ison after the Seminoles' first game; five What's more, dozens of officers work second jobs directing traffic and providing security at home football games, and many express their devotion to am's second-leading receiver. the Seminoles on social media.

On Jan. 10, 2013, a female student at Florida State spotted the man she believed had raped her the previous month. After learning his name, Jameis Winston, she reported him to the Tallahassee police.

Most recently, university officials suspended Mr. Winston for one game after he stood in a public place on campus and, playing off a running Internet gag, shouted a crude reference to a sex act. In a news conference afterward, his coach, Jimbo Fisher, said, "Our hope and belief is Jameis will learn from this and use better judgment and language and decision-making."

![](_page_25_Figure_12.jpeg)

#### The New York Times

#### By MIKE MoINTIRE and WALT BOGDANICH OCT. 10, 2014

#### выре лиссионализ

In the 21 months since, Florida State officials have said little about how

As The Times reported last April, the Tallahassee police also failed to they handled the case, which is no investigated by the federal Depart aggressively investigate the rape accusation. It did not become public until November, when a Tampa reporter, Matt Baker, acting on a tip, sought records of the police investigation.

> Upon learning of Mr. Baker's inquiry, Florida State, having shown little curiosity about the rape accusation, suddenly took a keen interest in the journalist seeking to report it, according to emails obtained by The Times.

"Can you share any details on the requesting source?" David Perry, the university's police chief, asked the Tallahassee police. Several hours later, Mr.

![](_page_25_Picture_21.jpeg)

## LEARNING LDA MODEL

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Topic-word matrix P[word = i | topic = i]• Topic proportions P[topic = j|document]

Moment Tensor: Co-occurrence of Word Triplets

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Statistical reasons:
- Incorporate **higher order** relationships in data
- Discover hidden topics (not possible with matrix methods)
- Computational reasons:
- Tensor algebra is **parallelizable** like linear algebra.
- **Faster** than other algorithms for LDA
- Flexible: Training and inference decoupled
- **Guaranteed** in theory to converge to global optimum

A. Anandkumar etal, Tensor Decompositions for Learning Latent Variable Models, JMLR 2014.

## WHY TENSORS?

## TENSOR-BASED LDA TRAINING IS FASTER

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Mallet is an open-source framework for topic modeling. Benchmarks on AWS SageMaker Platform Bulit into AWS Comprehend NLP service.

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Challenges:

- Long-term dependencies
- High-order correlations
- Error propagation

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

## TENSORS FOR LONG-TERM FORECASTING

#### Tensor Train RNN and LSTMs

## TENSOR LSTM FOR LONG-TERM FORECASTING

#### Traffic dataset

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Climate dataset

## **APPROXIMATION GUARANTEES FOR TT-RNN**

Approximation error : bias of best model in function class. No such guarantees exist for RNNs.

**Theorem:** TT-RNN with m units approx. with error  $\mathcal{E}$  $m \le O\left(\frac{C^2}{\epsilon}(dr^{-k} + p^{-k})\right)$ 

Dimension d, tensor-train rank r. Window p. • Bounded derivatives order k, smoothness C

Easier to approximate if function is smooth and analytic. • Higher rank and bigger window more efficient.

## TENSORLY: HIGH-LEVEL API FOR TENSOR ALGEBRA

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

## • Key Components Dynamics Model Assumption $S_{t+1} = f(S_t, a_t) + \hat{f}_a(S_t, a_t) + \epsilon$

• Using deep learning for  $\widehat{f_a}$ • Simple Contraction Mapping Controller, cancelling out  $\widehat{f_a}$ 

## NEURAL LANDER

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

### • Key Theoretical Results: Stability

#### Requirement:

- Spectral Normalized ReLU for  $f_a$ 0
- Generalization error of  $\widehat{f_a}$  is bounded  $\bullet$

## NEURAL LANDER

#### Generalization Error upper bound

 $\|\mathbf{s}(t)\| \le \|\mathbf{s}(t_0)\| \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda - L_a\rho}{m}(t - t_0)\right) + \frac{\epsilon_m}{\lambda - L_a\rho}$ 

Minimum eigenvalue of Gain matrix - Lipschitz Constant of f\_a \* time delay

### FIRST SET OF RESULTS: LEARNING TO LAND

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

## TRINITY FUELING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

### INFRASTRUCTURE FULL STACK FOR ML

- **APPLICATION SERVICES**

• GPUS

- - ML PLATFORM

ALGORITHMS OPTIMIZATION • SCALABILITY MULTI-DIMENSIONALITY

![](_page_36_Figure_10.jpeg)

DATA COLLECTION AGGREGATION AUGMENTATION 

![](_page_36_Picture_12.jpeg)

## COLLABORATORS (LIMITED LIST)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_15.jpeg)