

today: continue RKHS in all their glory! "

$(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$ training data

representer's thm: says that (for H a RKHS)

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}(y_i, f(x_i)) + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

is reached for $f^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* k(x_i, \cdot)$

let $f_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k(x_i, \cdot)$ $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$

then $\|f_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \langle f_\alpha, f_\alpha \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha^T K \alpha$

Gram matrix: $(k)_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)$ ($n \times n$ matrix)

\hookrightarrow inner product of $\phi(x_i)$ on data $k_{ij} = \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle$

$$\min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}(y_i, \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j k(x_j, x_i)}_{f_\alpha(x_i)}) + \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha$$

finite dim. opt. (thanks to representer's thm.)

getting a handle on \mathcal{H} : generalize diagonalization of matrices to ∞ -dim

I) start with finite matrices:

say X is finite e.g. x_1, \dots, x_n

$f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $\begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_n) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ("row")

\hookrightarrow finite \Rightarrow just a vector

form Gram matrix $(k)_{ij} \triangleq k(x_i, x_j)$ $n \times n$

if K is a valid kernel $\Rightarrow K \succeq 0$

$\mathcal{H} = \text{span} \{ k(x_i, \cdot) : i=1, \dots, n \} = \{ K\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

$\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{H}} = \text{row}$

correct them.

because $K \succeq 0$ ^{spectral thm.} \Rightarrow $K = U \Lambda U^T$
 $n \times n$ $\hookrightarrow \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$

and U is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n

we can let $\Phi = \Lambda^{1/2} U^T$
 $\Rightarrow K = \Phi^T \Phi$

i.e. $U = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & \dots & \psi_n \\ | & & | \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix}$

$U^T U = U U^T = I_n$ i.e. $\langle \psi_i, \psi_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \delta_{ij}$

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \psi_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_d} \psi_d^T \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$d = \text{rank}(K) \leq n$

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(x_1) & \dots & \Phi(x_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$K = \Phi^T \Phi = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \psi_i \psi_i^T$$

$$K(x_i, x_j) = k_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^n \lambda_l \psi_l(x_i) \psi_l(x_j)$$

If we define $\Phi(x) \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \psi_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_d} \psi_d(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^d$
x coord of ψ_i vector
feature space pt. of view

$$\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x') \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = K(x, x')$$

note: $K \psi_j = \sum_i \lambda_i \psi_i \psi_i^T \psi_j = \lambda_j \psi_j$
 $\langle \psi_i, \psi_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \delta_{ij}$

back to \mathcal{H} -view: $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$; $v \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow v = K\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$

to get $\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}$, we compute $\alpha_v = K^{\dagger} v$ ^{pseudo-inverse}

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \alpha_v^T K \alpha_v = v^T K^{\dagger} K^{\dagger} v \\ &= v^T U \Lambda^{-1} U^T \begin{pmatrix} I_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^T v \\ &= (U^T v)^T \Lambda^{-1} (U^T v) \end{aligned}$$

$K = U \Lambda U^T$
 $K^{\dagger} = U \Lambda^{\dagger} U^T$
 $K K^{\dagger} = U \Lambda U^T U \Lambda^{\dagger} U^T = U \begin{pmatrix} I_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^T$

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\langle v, \psi_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2}{\lambda_j}$$

β_j representation

$\Rightarrow U^T v$ is projection of v on $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n$ basis

$$\text{i.e. } v = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \psi_j \quad \text{i.e. } \beta_j = \langle v, \psi_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$$

$$\text{vs. } \|v\|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle v, \psi_j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2$$

$$\text{and } \boxed{\| \psi_j \|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_j}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i \psi_i \\ \langle v, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\lambda_j}$$

So orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} in \mathcal{S}_2 is $\{\sqrt{\lambda_j} \psi_j\}_{j=1}^d$
 $\hookrightarrow \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$

$$\langle v, v \rangle_{\mathcal{S}_2} = \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i^2$$

$$\langle v, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\beta_i^2}{\lambda_i}$$

thus $\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1$

\hookrightarrow makes an ellipsoid in \mathcal{S}_2

pe. higher coordinates are shrunk more?
 (since λ_j is smaller as $j \nearrow$)

15h31

II) generalization to ∞ -dim \mathcal{X}

suppose \mathcal{X} is a compact space (e.g. $\mathcal{X} = [0,1]$)
 + Lebesgue measure on it

$$\mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{X}) \triangleq \left\{ f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \int_{\mathcal{X}} (f(x))^2 dx < \infty \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{l}_2 \triangleq \left\{ (\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{ st. } \sum_i \alpha_i^2 < \infty \right\}$$

let k be a continuous psd kernel fct. (note it is symmetric)
 \hookrightarrow with respect to standard norm on \mathcal{X} & \mathbb{R}

define $L_k: \mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$
 $\text{s.t. } [L_k f](\cdot) \triangleq \int_{\mathcal{X}} k(x, \cdot) f(x) dx$

then can show that

L_k is a "compact selfadjoint positive" operator

$$\langle f, L_k g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_2} = \langle L_k f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_2} \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{L}_2$$

and yields an (countable) orthonormal basis (for \mathcal{L}_2)

finite version.
 $\langle v, kw \rangle = v^T k w$ when $k = k^T$
 $= (v^T k^T) w$
 $= (k v)^T w$
 $= \langle k v, w \rangle$

of e-functions for L_k $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$
 with non-negative α -values $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$

ie $L_k \psi_i = \lambda_i \psi_i$

and we have $k(x, z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \psi_i(x) \psi_i(z)$

[like $k = \Phi^T \Phi$ of before]

Mercer's thm

a) feature space $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$
 view of \mathcal{H}

$$\Phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{l}_2 \text{ with } (\Phi(x))_i \triangleq \sqrt{\lambda_i} \psi_i(x)$$

a) ~~kernel view~~ view of \mathcal{H} $\lambda_i = \lambda_i^2$

$$\Phi: X \rightarrow \ell_2 \text{ with } (\Phi(x))_i \triangleq \sqrt{\lambda_i} \varphi_i(x)$$

here: $k(x, x') = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x') \rangle_{\ell_2}$ [valid element of ℓ_2 because]

"diagonal representation" $\sum_i (\Phi(x))_i^2 = \sum_i \lambda_i \varphi_i(x) \varphi_i(x)$

here identify $k(x, x') \in \ell_2$ as $\Phi(x) \in \ell_2$ $= \langle k(x, x), x \rangle < \infty$

(do not what $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \ell_2$ looks like though)

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 < \infty$$

b) ℓ_2 view:

$$\mathcal{H} \subseteq \ell_2: \mathcal{H} = \left\{ f \in \ell_2 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle_{\ell_2}^2}_{\lambda_i} < \infty \right\} \rightarrow \text{elliptical in } \ell_2$$

$$\text{and } \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle_{\ell_2} \langle g, \varphi_i \rangle_{\ell_2}}_{\lambda_i}$$

* if k is "universal"

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k$ is dense in ℓ_2 i.e. for any $f \in \ell_2$

\exists sequence $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_k$ s.t. $\|h_n - f\|_{\ell_2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

note: if $f \notin \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow \|h_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \infty$

* non-parametric learning:

$$\hat{f}_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}(y_i, f(x_i))}_{\mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}(f)} + \lambda_n \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

$$f^* \triangleq \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in \ell_2} \mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}(x, f(x)) \text{ perhaps } f^* \notin \mathcal{H}$$

but \mathcal{H} dense in ℓ_2

+ regularity property of \mathcal{L} + correct decrease of λ_n

\Rightarrow consistency of \hat{f}_n i.e. $\hat{f}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\ell_2} f^*$

e.g. SVM with RBF kernel is "universally consistent" when $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ at correct rate